

Evaluation of Thesis Proposal

Candidate name.....

Date.....

Title of dissertation.....

.....

Part I: Written Proposal Performance

Evaluated Items with Expectation Criteria	Exceeds expectation	Meets expectation	Does not meet expectation	Confidential comments
Conceptual Framework/Theory: Explain clearly and comprehensively framework of the concept leading to research of interest				
Research Rationale: States the research problem and the purpose clearly, providing motivation for undertaking the research				
Impact of Proposed Research: Demonstrates the potential value of solution to the research problem in advancing knowledge within the area of study				
Literature and Previous Work: Demonstrates sound knowledge of literature in the area, and of prior work on the specific research problem				
Literature and Previous Work: The review is organized around major ideas or themes and is an integrated, critical essay on the most relevant and current published knowledge on the topic.				
Solution Plan: Provides a sound plan for applying state-of-the-field research methods/tools to solving the defined problem and shows a good understanding of how to use methods/tools effectively				
Expected Results: Provides a sound plan for analyzing and interpreting research results/data				
Broader Impact: Demonstrates awareness of broader implications of the proposed research. Broader implications may include social, economic, technical, ethical, business, etc.				
Publication: This proposal has the potential of international journal publishing.				

Part II: Presentation Performance

Evaluated Items with Expectation Criteria	Exceeds expectation	Meets expectation	Does not meet expectation	Confidential comments
Overall breadth of knowledge: demonstrates clearly depth of subject knowledge and show developed critical thinking				
Quality of Oral communication: Communicates research proposal clearly and professionally				
Quality of Presentation Material: uses presentation resources as a guide, gives detailed explanations, and professionally organized				
Presentation Skill: keeps appropriate eye contact with the audience and shows positive body languages				
Quality of response to questions: responses clearly and insightful answer to the question and well-organized arguments				

Overall Assessment: The assessment of the overall performance of the candidate based on the evidence provided in the written proposal and presentation performances above.

CRITERIA	PERFORMANCE RATINGS			
OVERALL, the rating of the PERFORMANCE	Needs significant improvement	Needs a bit of Improvement	Acceptable	Good

.....
 Name of the Examining Committee Member

.....
 Signature